UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,791	08/10/2006	Ahmad D. Vakili	U 015997-2	7939
140 LADAS & PAR	7590 04/07/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST		TENTONI, LEO B		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/565,791	VAKILI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leo B. Tentoni	1791					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u>	nnuarv 2010.						
	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	,, □ <u>-</u>	(DTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01252006</u> . 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/565,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 28 January 2010 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 8-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 28 January 2010.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rodgers et al (U.S. Patent 5,648,041 A).

Rodgers et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 5, line 42 to col. 7, line 16) teaches a process of making a fibrous material from blow spun fibers including the steps of a) heating a spinnable substance to flow the substance, b) forming at least one fiber by passing the spinnable through a spinning

Art Unit: 1791

apparatus, c) contacting the at least one fiber with a flowing gas and passing the at least one fiber into a diffuser, d) contacting the fiber with at least one additional flowing gas to place the fiber under tension, wherein the gas velocity is greater than the initial velocity of the fiber, e) dissipating the additional flowing gas thereby reducing the fiber velocity to a fiber velocity (this is inherent in Rodgers et al because the structure of the diffusion chamber (i.e., the diffuser) of Rodgers et al, like the structure of the instant diffuser, dissipates the additional flowing gas stream (see Figures 1 and 2 of Rodgers et al, and compare with instant Figure 1)), f) passing the fiber out of the diffuser, and g) collecting the fiber to form the fibrous product.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Profe (U.S. Patent 5,599,488 A).

Profe (see the entire document, in particular, col. 2, lines 30-47; col. 6, line 5 to col. 7, line 11) teaches a process of making a fibrous material from blow spun fibers including the steps of a) heating a spinnable substance to flow the substance, b) forming at least one fiber by passing the spinnable through a spinning apparatus, c) contacting the at least one fiber with a flowing gas and passing the at least one fiber into a diffuser, d) contacting the fiber with at least one additional flowing gas to place the fiber under tension, wherein the gas velocity is greater than the initial velocity of the fiber, e) dissipating the additional flowing gas thereby reducing the fiber velocity to

Application/Control Number: 10/565,791 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

a fiber velocity (this is inherent in Profe because the structure of the diffusion chamber (i.e., the diffuser) of Profe, like the structure of the instant diffuser, dissipates the additional flowing gas stream (see Figures 1 and 4 of Profe, and compare with instant Figure 1)), f) passing the fiber out of the diffuser, and g) collecting the fiber to form the fibrous product.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1791

8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodgers et al (U.S. Patent 5,648,041 A).

Rodgers et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 5, line 42 to col. 7, line 16) teaches a process of making a fibrous material from blow spun fibers as claimed, except that Rodgers et al does not explicitly teach dissipating the additional flowing gas thereby reducing the fiber velocity to a fiber velocity. However, this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Rodgers et al because the structure of the diffusion chamber (i.e., the diffuser) of Rodgers et al, like the structure of the instant diffuser, dissipates the additional flowing gas stream (see Figures 1 and 2 of Rodgers et al, and compare with instant Figure 1).

9. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Profe (U.S. Patent 5,599,488 A).

Profe (see the entire document, in particular, col. 2, lines 30-47; col. 6, line 5 to col. 7, line 11) teaches a process of making a fibrous material from blow spun fibers as claimed, except that Profe does not explicitly teach dissipating the additional flowing gas thereby reducing the fiber velocity to a fiber velocity. However, this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Profe because the structure of the diffusion chamber (i.e., the diffuser) of Profe, like the structure of the instant diffuser, dissipates the additional flowing gas stream

Art Unit: 1791

(see Figures 1 and 4 of Profe, and compare with instant Figure 1).

10. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Profe (U.S. Patent 5,599,488 A) as applied to claims 1-5 above, and further in view of Rodgers et al (U.S. Patent 5,648,041 A).

Profe does not explicitly teach the use of a carbonaceous pitch (e.g., solvated mesophase pitch) as a spinnable substance. Rodgers et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 5, line 42 to col. 7, line 16) teaches the use of solvated mesophase pitch as a spinnable substance, and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Profe in view of Rodgers et al in order to manufacture a fibrous product from fibers made from carbon materials.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,791 Page 7

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leo B. Tentoni/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791